

### 3.2 Multiple recurrence for weak mixing actions

**Definition 3.2.1.** Suppose  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a measure preserving action of a countable amenable group  $\Gamma$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $F_n \subset \Gamma$  be a Følner sequence, and suppose  $A \subset L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant unital, self-adjoint subalgebra. A state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  is said to be **generic** with respect to  $F_n$ , and  $\mu$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \varphi(\sigma_\gamma(f)) = \int f d\mu,$$

for all  $f \in A$ .

The example to keep in mind is when  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright (\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{orel}}, \mu)$  is the rotation action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the circle by an irrational (modulo  $2\pi$ ) angle. Where  $A$  is the algebra of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $\varphi \in A^*$  is given by evaluation at some point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then it follows from Birkoff's Ergodic Theorem that for almost all choices of  $z_0$ , this state is generic. This justifies the terminology.

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Suppose  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is an ergodic, measure preserving action of a countable amenable group  $\Gamma$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $F_n \subset \Gamma$  be a Følner sequence, and suppose  $A \subset L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant unital, self-adjoint subalgebra. If  $\varphi$  is a state on  $A$  which is generic with respect to  $F_n$ , and  $\mu$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma(f) = \int f d\mu,$$

for all  $f \in A$ , where the convergence is in  $L^2(A, \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* Note, that when  $\varphi$  is given by  $\varphi(f) = \int f d\mu$  then this is just a restatement of von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and suppose that  $f \in A$ . Note that by subtracting the integral we may assume that  $\int f d\mu = 0$ . Since the action is ergodic it follows from von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|F_{n_0}|} \sum_{\gamma_0 \in F_{n_0}^{-1}} \sigma_{\gamma_0}(f) \right\|_{L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)}^2 < \varepsilon.$$

Using the triangle, followed by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality ( $(|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n|)^2 \leq (\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |a_n|)^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |a_n|^2$ ), and then using the fact that  $\varphi$  is generic we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left( \left| \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \frac{1}{|F_{n_0}|} \sum_{\gamma_0 \in F_{n_0}^{-1}} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma_0}(f) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \varphi \left( \sigma_\gamma \left( \left| \frac{1}{|F_{n_0}|} \sum_{\gamma_0 \in F_{n_0}^{-1}} \sigma_{\gamma_0}(f) \right|^2 \right) \right) \\ & = \left\| \frac{1}{|F_{n_0}|} \sum_{\gamma_0 \in F_{n_0}^{-1}} \sigma_{\gamma_0}(f) \right\|_{L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)}^2 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for each  $\gamma_0 \in F_{n_0}$  we have that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} (\sigma_\gamma(f) - \sigma_{\gamma\gamma_0}(g)) \right\|_\infty \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \Delta \gamma_0^{-1} F_n|}{|F_n|} = 0.$$

Hence, combining this with the above inequality we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left( \left| \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma(f) \right|^2 \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary this shows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma(f) \right\|_{L^2(A, \varphi)}^2 = 0.$$

□

**Exercise 3.2.3.** Generalize the above lemma to arbitrary measure preserving actions. That is to say, suppose  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a measure preserving action of a countable amenable group  $\Gamma$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Let  $F_n \subset \Gamma$  be a Følner sequence, and suppose  $A \subset L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant unital, self-adjoint subalgebra such that if  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{I}, \mu)$  is the algebra of bounded  $\Gamma$ -invariant functions then  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{I}, \mu) \cap A$  is dense in  $L^2(X, \mathcal{I}, \mu)$ . Suppose  $\varphi$  is a state on  $A$  such that for all  $f \in A$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \varphi(\sigma_\gamma(f)) = \int f d\mu.$$

Then show that for all  $f \in A$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma(f) = E_{\mathcal{I}}(f),$$

where the convergence is in  $L^2(A, \varphi)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable amenable group with Følner sequence  $F_n \subset \Gamma$ . Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^{\alpha^j} (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  are weak mixing, measure preserving actions of  $\Gamma$  on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , for  $0 \leq j < k$ . Assume moreover that the actions pairwise commute, i.e.,  $\alpha_\gamma^i \circ \alpha_\lambda^j = \alpha_\lambda^j \circ \alpha_\gamma^i$ , for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $\gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma$ , and that the actions  $\gamma \mapsto \alpha_\gamma^i \alpha_\gamma^{i+1} \cdots \alpha_\gamma^j$  are weak mixing for all  $0 \leq i \leq j < k$ . Suppose  $f_0, \dots, f_{k-1} \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma^0(f_0) (\sigma_\gamma^0 \sigma_\gamma^1(f_1)) \cdots (\sigma_\gamma^0 \cdots \sigma_\gamma^{k-1}(f_{k-1})) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int f_j d\mu \right),$$

where the convergence is in  $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X^k, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k}, \mu^k)$  given by  $\gamma(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = (\alpha_\gamma^0 x_0, \alpha_\gamma^0 \alpha_\gamma^1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_\gamma^0 \cdots \alpha_\gamma^{k-1} x_{k-1})$ .

Denote by  $\nu$  the diagonal measure on  $X^k$  given by  $\nu(A) = \mu(\{x \in X \mid (x, x, \dots, x) \in A\})$ . Then we obtain a well defined state  $\varphi$  on  $A = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)^{\otimes_{\text{alg}} k}$  by

$$\varphi(\tilde{f}) = \int \tilde{f} d\nu,$$

for all  $\tilde{f} \in A$ .

By Lemma 3.2.2, in order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that  $\varphi$  is generic with respect to  $F_n$ , and  $\mu^k$ . We will do by induction on  $k$ .

Note that  $k = 1$  is trivial. If this holds for  $k \geq 1$ , and  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , then by Lemma 3.2.2 we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \Sigma_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \sigma_\gamma^1(f_1) \cdots (\sigma_\gamma^1 \cdots \sigma_\gamma^k(f_k)) = \Pi_{j=1}^k \left( \int f_j d\mu \right),$$

in  $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

Multiplying this by  $f_0$  and integrating we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \Sigma_{\gamma \in F_n^{-1}} \int f_0 \sigma_\gamma^1(f_1) \cdots (\sigma_\gamma^1 \cdots \sigma_\gamma^k(f_k)) d\mu = \Pi_{j=0}^k \left( \int f_j d\mu \right).$$

Applying  $\sigma_\gamma^0$  then gives the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright^T (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a weak mixing, measure preserving action on a probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \Sigma_{n=0}^{N-1} \sigma_n(f_0) \sigma_{2n}(f_1) \cdots \sigma_{kn}(f_{k-1}) = \Pi_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int f_j d\mu \right),$$

where the convergence is in  $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* If we consider the action  $\alpha^i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  which takes the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $T^i$ , then it follows from Corollary 1.7.7 that these actions satisfy the hypotheses of the above theorem.  $\square$